UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,268	05/25/2006	Simone Bizzarri	09952.0040	2575
	7590 10/04/201 ENDERSON, FARAE	0 SOW, GARRETT & DUNNER	EXAMINER	
LLP			GEBRESILASSIE, KIBROM K	
	901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2128	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/580,268	BIZZARRI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		KIBROM GEBRESILASSIE	2128			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 At</u>	ugust 2010				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
′=	· 					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayre, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>22,24-31 and 33-42</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· · _ ·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>22,24-31 and 33-42</u> is/are rejected.					
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement				
ت (۵	are subject to restriction and of	Ciccion requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
,		•				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
The dath of declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action of John 170-132.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 2128

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to amended application filed on 08/03/2010.

2. Claims 22, 24-31, and 33-42 are presented for examination.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 22, 24-31, and 33-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Publication No. 2002/0145982 issued to Talpade et al with US Publication No. 2004/0032857 issued to Tannan et al.
 - a. As per Claims 1-21 (Cancelled).

Application/Control Number: 10/580,268

Art Unit: 2128

b. As per Claim 22, Talpade et al discloses a method, implemented using a computer system comprising:

Page 3

a processor and a memory, for simulating a communications network through objects that model respective network devices, comprising the steps of:

Simulating, using the computer, through said objects the supply of network services according to respective quality of service profiles (such as "simulate traffic classes and determine the QoS mechanisms based on the simulation; See: [0025]; Fig. 2 #200), wherein the simulating comprising:

selectively identifying, using the computer, for each of said objects, at least one quality of service profile (such as "identifying the classes of traffic and associated QoS criteria, the ISP may identify a set of applications, each class of traffic may support one or more applications, application may include voice-over IP, Web TCP"; See: [0024]; Fig. 2 #210); and

dynamically configuring said objects, using the computer, to simulate the supply of the service corresponding to said selectively identified quality of service profile (such as "allocate network resources based on QoS mechanisms, parameters, and multiplexing gain, establish flows and configure nodes through which flow is established based on QoS mechanisms, parameters, and multiplexing gain"; See: Fig. 2 step #240 and step#270); and

inserting, for at least one simulated network user (such as "network system" Fig. 1, Fig. 3), a respective parameter related to a particular respective quality of service profile, selectively identified for one of said objects, wherein the

Art Unit: 2128

steps are applied for simulating networks (such as "identifying the classes of traffic and associated QoS criteria, and provide the identified classes of traffic, source models and QoS criteria to the simulator using input device (i.e. inserting)"; See: paragraph [0024]).

Talpade et al discloses simulating network (See: Fig. 3). However,

Talpade et al does not expressly disclose whether the simulating network

comprising a plurality of mobile terminals cooperating with a blocks or network

devices, and wherein the simulated network user comprises one of the plurality

of mobile terminals.

Tannan disclose the simulating network comprising a plurality of mobile terminals cooperating with a blocks or network devices, and wherein the simulated network user comprises one of the plurality of mobile terminals (such as "virtual representation is configured to represent a global system for mobile communication "GSM" network 104 comprising nodes and base stations"; See: Fig. 1 #102).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tannan et al with the teaching of Talpade et al because both references drawn to simulate a network that carry a traffic. The motivation to do so would be to achieve a consistent quality of service when transporting data over a network.

c. As per Claim 23, Canceled.

Art Unit: 2128

d. As per Claim 24, Talpade et al discloses the method according to claim 22, further comprising the steps of: selectively associating respective quality of service profiles, using the computer, to a plurality of network users (See: [0034]); and performing at least one simulation, using the computer, in which every user uses a different service from that used by other users of said plurality (See: [0038]).

- e. As per Claim 25, Talpade et al discloses the method according to claim 22, wherein the steps are applied, using the computer, for simulating networks comprising mobile terminals, said quality of service profile comprising parameters chosen from the group of: traffic class (such as "traffic class requirement"; See: Fig. 3), maximum transfer time of a data unit (such as "amount of time the traffic is on or off"; See: par [0023]), guaranteed transfer speed for data transmitted by mobile terminal toward the network (such as "available bandwidth"; See: par [0028]), maximum transfer speed for data transmitted from mobile terminal toward the network (such as "sufficient bandwidth"; See: par [0028]), guaranteed transfer speed for data transmitted by the network toward a mobile terminal (such as "available bandwidth"; See: par [0028]), and maximum transfer speed for data transmitted by the network toward a mobile terminal (such as "available bandwidth"; See: par [0028]).
- f. As per Claim 26, Talpade et al discloses the method according to claim 22, wherein the steps are applied, using the computer, for simulating networks comprising mobile terminals connected through radio interfaces, comprising

Art Unit: 2128

respective control modules of calls, the method comprises the step of directly sending said parameter from said control module of the mobile terminal toward the control module in view of the forwarding of said parameter to modules of the related radio interfaces that start the connection according to the type of service pointed out in said parameter (such as "when the first customer site wishes to communicate with another customer site, the first customer site may send to the admission controller a request for connection based on the determined QoS mechanisms, their associated parameters, and the determined multiplexing gain, the admission controller may configure one or more of the nodes; See: [0028], [0030]).

Talpade et al fails expressly to disclose a switching centre.

Tannan et al discloses switching centre (such as "circuit switching call generator 302 and packet switched call generator 304"; See: Fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tannan et al with the teaching of Talpade et al because both references drawn to simulate a network that carry a traffic. The motivation to do so would be to vary the packet size based on the application being simulated.

g. As per Claim 27, Talpade et al discloses the method according to claim 22, wherein the steps are applied, using the computer, for simulating networks comprising mobile terminals connected through radio interfaces to a network node, said mobile terminals and said network node comprising respective modules for managing the mobile terminal session and for managing the support

Application/Control Number: 10/580,268

more of the nodes; See: [0028], [0030]).

Art Unit: 2128

node session, the method comprises the step of directly sending said parameter from said module for managing the mobile terminal session toward said module for managing the support node session in view of the forwarding of such parameter to the modules of the related radio interfaces that start the connection according to the type of service pointed out in said parameter (such as "when the first customer site wishes to communicate with another customer site, the first customer site may send to the admission controller a request for connection based on the determined QoS mechanisms, their associated parameters, and the determined multiplexing gain, the admission controller may configure one or

Page 7

Talpade et al fails expressly to disclose packet switching call.

Tannan et al discloses packet switching call (such as "circuit switching call generator 302 and packet switched call generator 304"; See: Fig. 3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Tannan et al with the teaching of Talpade et al because both references drawn to simulate a network that carry a traffic. The motivation to do so would be to vary the packet size based on the application being simulated.

h. As per Claim 28, Talpade et al discloses the method according to claim 22, wherein the steps are applied, using the computer, for simulating networks comprising mobile terminals cooperating with blocks responsible for starting the connection, wherein, in case of simulation of a call originated from a terminal, said parameter is specified by said terminal to said blocks during the procedure

Art Unit: 2128

for starting the connection (such as "when the first customer site wishes to communicate with another customer site, the first customer site may send to the admission controller a request for connection based on the determined QoS mechanisms, their associated parameters, and the determined multiplexing gain, the admission controller may configure one or more of the nodes; See: [0028], [0030]).

- i. As per Claim 29, Talpade et al discloses the method according to claim 22, wherein the steps are applied, using the computer, for simulating networks comprising mobile terminals cooperating with blocks responsible for starting the connection, wherein, in case of simulation of a terminated call toward a determined network terminal, comprises the step of taking said parameter from the terminal object of the call, said taking step being performed by said blocks responsible for starting the connection (such as "when the first customer site wishes to communicate with another customer site, the first customer site may send to the admission controller a request for connection based on the determined QoS mechanisms, their associated parameters, and the determined multiplexing gain, the admission controller may configure one or more of the nodes; See: [0028], [0030]).
- j. As per Claim 30, Talpade et al discloses the method according to claim 22, wherein the steps are applied, using the computer, for simulating networks comprising mobile terminals cooperating with network devices, comprising, in case of simulation of a terminated call on a mobile terminal, the step of sending

the indication of connection start beginning from simulated network devices omitting the indication of what quality of service profile to use and obtaining said profile from the mobile terminal to which the call is directed (such as "when the first customer site wishes to communicate with another customer site, the first customer site may send to the admission controller a request for connection based on the determined QoS mechanisms, their associated parameters, and the determined multiplexing gain, the admission controller may configure one or more of the nodes...; See: [0028], [0030]).

- k. As per Claim 31, the instant claims recite substantially same limitation as the above rejected claims 22, and therefore rejected under the same rationale.
- I. As per Claim 32, Canceled.
- m. As per Claims 33-42, the instant claims recite substantially same limitation as the above rejected claims 24-30, and therefore rejected under the same rationale.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2128

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KIBROM GEBRESILASSIE whose telephone number is (571)272-8571.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kamini Shah can be reached on (571)272-2279. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kamini Shah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2128

/KIBROM GEBRESILASSIE/ Examiner, Art Unit 2128